

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/772,119	SAHODA, KATSUMI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan S. Crepeau	1746	

All Participants:
Status of Application: finally rejected

 (1) Jonathan S. Crepeau.

(3) _____.

 (2) Anthony Laurentano.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 November 2005
Time: _____.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Laurentano to offer an examiner's amendment (moving claims 18 and 20 into the independent claims) to place the application in condition for allowance. However, authorization to make the amendments was not received within several business days.